

w.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,779	03/29/2004	Robert M. Haynie	EYD-009.01 9514	
25181 FOLEY HOAC	7590 08/20/200 G. LLP	EXAMINER		
PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD BOSTON, MA 02110			KANERVO, VIRPI H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
B051011, 14171	1 02110		3609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/811,779	HAYNIE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Virpi H. Kanervo	3609			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apple	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with a period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This is application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This is application in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This is action for allowant to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This is action for allowant to condition for allowant to condition for allowant to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This is action for allowant to condition for allowant to condition for all the conditions for all the conditi	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	n) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
WIOLU TRAN					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) te			

Art Unit: 3609

410 %

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 17-24, 26-32, and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Green (2003/0009418 A1).

As to claims 1, 21, and 29, Green shows one or more databases of verified tax data files, where each verified tax data files (1) includes verified tax data of a taxpayer (page 2, ¶ 19, where the records are verified since they are accepted by the IRS), and (2) is associated with a taxpayer identifier identifying the taxpayer and one or more requesting party identifiers, the requesting party identifiers identifying respective requesting parties having access rights to the verified tax data file (page 2, ¶¶ 19-21); receiving a request from a first requesting party for verified tax data of a first taxpayer (page 2, ¶ 21); based on the request, querying the one or more databases to determine whether they include one or more

Application/Control Number: 10/811,779 Page 3

Art Unit: 3609

verified tax data files that are associated with a first taxpayer identifier and a first requesting party identifier (page 2, ¶ 21); and providing the verified tax data included in the found one or more verified tax data files to the first requesting

party (page 3, ¶ 27 and ¶ 28).

As to claims 2, 22, and 30, Green shows all the elements of claims 1, 21, and 29, and that the verified tax data included in each of the verified tax data files are based on one or more data elements from one or more tax forms filed by a taxpayer with a governmental tax collection entity (page 2, ¶ 21; page 3, ¶ 23).

As to claims 3, 23, and 31, Green shows all the elements of claims 1, 21, and 29, and that the verified tax data included in each of the verified tax data files are based on one or more data elements from one of more verified tax transcripts of the U.S. Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") (page 2, ¶ 21; page 3, ¶ 23).

As to claims 4, 24, and 32, Green shows all the elements of claims 3, 23, and 31, and that the one or more verified tax transcripts of the IRS are obtained based on a requesting party providing one or more of: an IRS form 4506, an IRS form 8821, and another similar IRS form executed by a taxpayer (page 1, ¶ 11; page 3, ¶ 23).

As to claim 6, Green shows all the elements of claim 1, and that for one or more of the verified tax data files, the taxpayer includes one of: an individual taxpayer

and a non-personal taxpayer (page 2, ¶ 18; page 3, ¶ 23).

As to claims 7 and 27, Green shows all the elements of claims 1 and 21, and that

for one or more of the verified tax data files, the taxpayer includes a joint

taxpayer (page 2, ¶ 21).

As to claims 8, 28, and 36, Green shows all the elements of claims 7, 27, and 35,

and that the taxpayer identifier identifies one or more of: a first individual

taxpayer associated with the joint taxpayer, a second individual taxpayer

associated with the joint taxpayer, and the joint taxpayer (page 2, ¶ 21; page 3, ¶

23).

As to claim 17, Green shows all the elements of claim 1, and that providing one

or more databases of verified tax files includes: receiving additional verified tax

data files (page 2, ¶ 21), where each of the additional verified tax data files: (1)

includes verified tax data of a taxpayer (page 2, ¶ 19), and (2) is associated with

a taxpayer identifier identifying the taxpayer and one or more requesting party

identifiers, the requesting party identifiers identifying one or more respective

requesting parties having access rights to the verified tax data file (page 2, ¶¶ 19-

Page 5

data files (page 3, ¶ 27).

As to claim 18, Green shows all the elements of claim 1, and based on applying

21); and updating the one or more databases to include the additional verified tax

one or more computational rules to the verified tax data of the first taxpayer,

computing a cash flow of the first taxpayer (page 3, ¶ 27); and providing the cash

flow to the first requesting party (page 3, ¶ 28).

As to claim 19, Green shows all the elements of claim 1, and querying the first

requesting party to provide financial data associated with one or more incomes

and expenses of the first taxpayer, other than incomes and expenses included in

verified tax data (page 2, ¶ 21).

As to claim 20, Green shows all the elements of claim 19, and performing one or

more of: querying to provide one or more cash flow computation options (page 3,

¶ 22); providing one or more selectable cash flow computation options, wherein

the cash flow computation options are related to a computation treatment of one

or more of a non-recurring expense and a non-recurring income (page 2, ¶ 21,

where daily banking service and credit reports include non-recurring expense

and income); and computing the cash flow of the first taxpayer based on applying

the one or more computation rules and one or more of the one or more provided

cash flow computation options and the one or more selected cash flow

Art Unit: 3609

computation options to the verified tax data and the financial data of the first

Page 6

taxpayer (page 4, ¶ 30).

As to claims 26 and 34, Green shows all the elements of claims 21 and 29, and

that for one or more of the verified tax data files, the taxpayer includes an

individual taxpayer (page 2,  $\P$  18; page 3,  $\P$  23).

As to claim 35, Green shows all the elements of claim 29, and that for one or

more of the verified tax data files, the taxpayer includes a joint taxpayer (page 2,

¶ 21).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,779 Page 7

Art Unit: 3609

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section § 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented

and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 25, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Green in view of Wilkes (6,853,984 B1).

As to claims 5, 25, and 33, Green shows all the elements of claims 4, 24, and 32.

Green does not show that the one or more verified tax transcripts of the IRS are

digitized based on one or more of: one or more optical character recognition

schemes and one or more manual data entry schemes. Wilkes shows that the

one or more financial transcripts are digitized based on optical character

recognition scheme (Wilkes: col. 5, lines 65-67; col. 6, lines 1-3), It would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have

modified the method of Green by digitizing one or more financial transcripts

based on optical character recognition scheme of Wilkes in order to transform the

information to an electronic format which is suitable for transmission (Wilkes: col.

3, lines 5-6).

Art Unit: 3609

5. Claims 9-16 and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Green in view of McKelvey (2005/0044004 A1).

As to claims 9 and 37, Green shows all the elements of claims 1 and 29. Green

does not show based on not finding one or more verified tax data files that are

associated with the first taxpayer identifier and the first requesting party identifier,

returning to querying the one or more databases. McKelvey shows a query to the

database (McKelvey: page 3, ¶ 32), and a revision made to the data used in the

initial query that results into returning to querying the database (McKelvey: page

3, ¶ 33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

of the invention to have modified the method of Green by making a revision to

the data used in the initial query that results into returning to querying the

database of McKelvey in order to provide flexibility to the guerying the database

(McKelvey: page 1, ¶ 4).

As to claims 10 and 38, Green in view of McKelvey shows all the elements of

claims 9 and 37, and that returning to querying the one or more databases

includes querying the one or more databases based on one or more updates to

the one or more databases (Green: page 3, ¶ 30).

Page 8

Art Unit: 3609

As to claims 11 and 39, Green in view of McKelvey shows all the elements of

Page 9

claims 9 and 37, and that returning to querying the one or more databases

includes: storing the request from the first requesting party for the verified tax

data of the first taxpayer (Green: page 3, ¶ 30); receiving additional verified tax

data files (Green: page 3, ¶ 30), where each of the additional verified tax data

files: (1) includes verified tax data associated with a taxpayer (Green: page 2, ¶

19), and (2) is associated with a taxpayer identifier identifying the taxpayer and

one or more requesting party identifiers, the requesting party identifiers

identifying one or more requesting parties having access rights to the verified tax

data file (Green: page 2, ¶¶ 19-21); and determining whether one or more of the

additional verified tax data files are associated with the first taxpayer identifier

and the first requesting party identifier (Green: page 4, ¶ 30).

As to claims 12 and 40, Green in view of McKelvey shows all the elements of

claims 11 and 39, and that determining includes updating the one or more

databases to include the additional verified tax data files (Green: page 3, ¶ 27

and ¶ 30).

As to claim 13, Green shows all the elements of claim 1 and performing: storing

the request from the first requesting party for the verified tax data of the first

taxpayer (Green: page 3, ¶ 30); transmitting a verified tax data request for the

Application/Control Number: 10/811,779 Page 10

Art Unit: 3609

verified tax data to a provider of a verified tax data, the verified tax data including the first taxpayer identifier and an authorization from the first taxpayer authorizing the provider to provide the verified tax data; and based on the verified tax data request (Green: page 2, ¶ 19 and ¶ 21); and receiving the verified tax data from the provider (Green: page 3, ¶ 30). Green does not show that the previous steps are based on not finding one or more data files that are associated with the first taxpayer identifier. McKelvey shows a process where a query is sent to the database (McKelvey: page 3, ¶ 32), a response is sent from a database (McKelvey: page 3, ¶ 32), and the receiver of the response does not select any of the items constituting the response (McKelvey: page 3, ¶ 33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the method of Green by basing the method steps on not finding the desired information in the initial query of McKelvey in order to provide flexibility to the querying the database (McKelvey: page 1, ¶ 4).

As to claim 14, Green in view of McKelvey shows all the elements of claim 1, and that that the verified tax data request includes one or more of: an IRS form 4506, an IRS form 8821, and another similar IRS form executed by the first taxpayer (Green: page 1, ¶ 11; page 3, ¶ 23).

on the verified tax data files (Green: page 3, ¶ 27).

Art Unit: 3609

As to claim 15, Green in view of McKelvey shows all the elements of claim 13, and that receiving the verified tax data includes receiving one or more electronic

Page 11

transcripts summarizing the verified tax data (Green: page 1, ¶ 11; page 3, ¶ 27).

As to claim 16, Green in view of McKelvey shows all the elements of claim 15, and based on one or more digitizing schemes, digitizing the one or more electronic transcripts of the verified tax data (Green: page 2, ¶ 21, where electronic tax information is digitized); based on one or more verification schemes, verifying the digitized electronic transcripts to generate verified tax data files (Green: page 2, ¶¶ 18-19; page 3, ¶ 27); associating the verified tax data files with the first taxpayer identifier and the first requesting party identifier (Green: page 2, ¶ 19 and ¶ 21); and updating the one or more databases based

Art Unit: 3609

Conclusion

Page 12

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Baker (6,473,741 B1) shows a process that arranges information warehoused at

individual accounting and tax preparation firms at a central location for the

purpose of marketing information.

Brady (2004/0088233 A1) shows a multi-user information processing system and

method for determining tax provision information that includes a source database

storing data for determining tax provision information.

Goldman (2004/0143543) shows a method for carrying out a real estate

settlement using electronic documents, in the absence of paper, where all real

estate settlement papers are provided in electronic format for review, signing,

transmitting and storing.

Miller (2001/0037268 A1) shows a tax information requestor collecting tax

information by connecting electronically to an electronic intermediary and/or to a

tax provider.

Art Unit: 3609

Stern (2002/0091602 A1) shows a system and method providing for a centralized

Page 13

data repository service which collects tax information on behalf of citizenry,

indexes the data by a personal identifier, and allows the taxpayer to either recall

the data for use on yearly income tax returns or enables the government or a

third party to access the data.

Wagner (2003/0233296 A1) shows a system and method for accessing a remote

database and automatically preparing forms based on the accessed data

provided.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Virpi H. Kanervo whose telephone number is

(571) 272-9818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday,

8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

Virpi H. Kanervo

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER